

**CREDITOR'S ADJUSTMENT BUREAU, INC. v  
CALIFORNIA CONSTRUCTION AND ADU'S INC.**

**24CF14832**

**PLAINTIFF'S MOTION TO STRIKE ANSWER**

This case involves a dispute over premium payments for a worker's compensation policy. Now before the Court is Plaintiff's Motion to Strike Answer.

Plaintiff moves to strike the Answer because the Defendant is a corporation which is attempting to represent itself in this matter. However, a corporation cannot represent itself and must be represented by a licensed attorney. (*CLD Construction, Inc. v. City of San Ramon* (2004) 120 Cal.App.4th 1141, 1146.) Defendant has been on notice of this requirement, and Plaintiff's reasonable objections, since at least January 23, 2025, when Plaintiff's first motion to strike (on the same grounds) was filed (and ultimately denied on purely procedural grounds). Defendant has not filed an opposition to the motion or filed a request to file an amended answer.

Accordingly, and for all the foregoing reasons, Plaintiff's motion is **GRANTED**.

The Clerk shall provide notice of this Ruling to the parties forthwith. The Court intends to sign the submitted Proposed Order.